

LC  
Sara Findlay

From: PlanningPolicy  
Sent: 04 October 2018 10:15  
To: Sara Findlay  
Subject: FW: Outline application 14/02554/OUT - Upper Mudford, Primrose Lane, Yeovil, Somerset  
Attachments: Mudford Planning Objection.docx

Here it is.

From: Jamie Davies  
Sent: 03 October 2018 14:02  
To: PlanningPolicy <planningpolicy@SouthSomerset.Gov.Uk>  
Subject: Outline application 14/02554/OUT - Upper Mudford, Primrose Lane, Yeovil, Somerset

Dear Sirs,

With reference to Outline application 14/02554/OUT - Upper Mudford, Primrose Lane, Yeovil, Somerset

Please find the attached document.

Kind regards,

Jamie Davies  
Director and Company Secretary



[www.turnspeed.co.uk](http://www.turnspeed.co.uk)

Company number 1506978 Registered Office : 3 Boundary Way, Lufton Trading Estate, Yeovil, Somerset BA22 8HZ,  
Telephone: 01935 424347

This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by Email if you have received this Email by mistake and delete this Email from your system.

Email transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete or contain viruses. The sender therefore, does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message or attached documents or for any damage suffered by your computer system caused by any errors or viruses contained in the Email message or any attached documents.

Mr J Davies  
The Lily Pad  
Primrose Lane  
Yeovil  
BA21 5SH

Dear Sirs

**Re: Outline application 14/02554/OUT - Upper Mudford, Primrose Lane, Yeovil, Somerset**

I live adjacent to this proposed development and I am writing to object and ask that this planning application be denied for reasons that the site has not been fully investigated for any anthrax residue and poses a health threat to myself, my family and the local residents and wildlife.

I believe that some additional testing has been carried out, but testing one or two small areas down to a depth of 0.5 metres, in my opinion, is not an acceptable test to declare the site 'Clear' of contamination. The infected cattle could be buried anywhere within and, without doubt, would have been buried deeper than 0.5 meters.

All previous concerns raised such as the list below still remain.

The site has not been fully investigated for Anthrax contamination?

Where will any soil contaminated with anthrax be disposed?

What precautions will be taken to ensure that anthrax is not released into the air during investigation and removal?

At present, rain water falling on this land soaks into it. Once the site is developed water will not be able to naturally soak away into the land. What proof do the developers have that water run off will not affect houses and land below the site?

Mudford already suffers from bad flooding every year, to which this development will only add to. What proposals does the developer have to prevent this happening?

What proposals does the developer have, to ensure that contaminants from the developed site, such as petrol, oil, household chemicals will not be carried by rainwater into the river in Mudford?

The area has a lot of wildlife present, with badgers, newts, bats, buzzards, deer etc. When we are supposed to be protecting our wildlife, how does this developer intend to ensure that the local wildlife is protected?

Has a full wildlife survey been carried out? **Please note new badger setts have been spotted on site since the last submitted ecology report.**

Road traffic in Yeovil is already frequently at a standstill and this proposed estate will only make the problem worse. Regardless of any plans for buses or cycle routes, people will continue to use their cars, as is evident at present. People have got used to traveling when they want, where they want. Mudford road and Lyde road will become jammed. Smaller



roads such as St Johns Rd & St Michaels Ave will become rat runs as people search for a quicker route, which is something that happens now. These densely populated roads will be clogged with cars travelling slowly or idling, polluting the air that locals have to breathe. Please read these links <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2016/apr/27/uk-air-pollution-public-health-emergency-crisis-diesel-cars> & <https://www.independent.co.uk/environment/pollution-air-clean-water-vehicles-diesel-car-tax-lancet-report-deaths-fatal-disease-a8009751.html> One report suggest that pollution is killing 50,000 people a year in the UK. What proposals does the Council and Councillors have to ensure the residents who they serve are protected from the additional pollution that will be created by the extra traffic and ensuing jams created by this development?

People visit Somerset for its countryside, beautiful views etc. Tourism in Somerset is worth approx. £1.28 billion per year, **supporting around 26,000 full time job equivalents.** (source: 2013 Volume and Value of Tourism Report, South West Research Company)

Tourism is an important sector of the economy. Considering all the jobs supported by tourism, it can be said to account for around 10% of employment within the county of Somerset. If we keep destroying the countryside and views, there will be a reduction in tourism. What plans do the Council have to provide jobs for those who lose their employment due to housing estates ruining the countryside? There is little point in building houses for people if there's no work for them. Do those Councillors and Council Officers who approve such sites truly believe they are serving the people of Somerset, by helping them lose their jobs. Please read the following article.

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/earth/greenpolitics/planning/11304901/This-housing-free-for-all-is-scarring-our-most-precious-countryside.html>

Sincerely

Jamie Davies.